

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/708,033 02/04/2004 2032 Jed E. Rose 014622.000001 **EXAMINER** 24239 7590 09/27/2004 MOORE & VAN ALLEN, PLLC LAYNO, BENJAMIN 2200 W MAIN STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 DURHAM, NC 27705 3712

**DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)		_
	10/708,033	ROSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin H. Layno	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	•	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	·	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been received in Apprity documents have been received.	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		-	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>092304</u> .		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/708,033

Art Unit: 3712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dwyer. The patent to Dwyer discloses playing cards divided into a first suit having 26 cards, and a second suit having 26 cards. Each card of the first suit has a legend of a letter of the alphabet "A" "Z" and a picture. Each card of the second suit also has a legend of a letter of the alphabet "A" "Z" and a picture, but varying in color of border design. The playing cards also comprise "wild" cards, col. 6, lines 22-23.

In regard to claims 3-7 and 11, the only difference between the legends and pictures on the cards of the claimed invention, and the legends and pictures on Dwyer's cards resides in the meaning and information conveyed by printed matter. Such differences are considered unpatentable, *Ex parte Breslow*, 192 USPQ 431.

Furthermore, in *Gulack*, the Court concluded that the claimed printed matter should be given patentable weight because there was a functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate, in that the printed matter was an endless sequence of digits and the substrate was an endless band, such that the band "presented the digits as an endless sequence with no discrete beginning or end." *Gulack, 703 F.d. at 1382, 217 USPQ2d at 402.* By contrast, in the present case, there

**Art Unit: 3712** 

is no functional relationship between the substrate (Applicant's cards) and the matter in question (Applicant's legends and pictures) printed on it. The Applicant's cards merely serves the same purpose as the Dwyer's cards, namely, both the Applicant's cards and Dwyer's cards provide a substrate or support for the legends and pictures such that the legends and pictures can be displayed for the convenience of the players. Therefore, the Applicant's legends and pictures recited in claims 3-7 and 11 do not patentably distinguish from Dwyer's legends and pictures proposed by the Examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 12-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calhoun in view of Bouchal 799'.

The patent to Calhoun discloses a method of playing a card game comprising providing a deck having a first suit (sentence cards) 10 and a second suit (picture cards) 14. The first suit and second suit area separated from each other. To play Calhoun's game, a player selects a sentence card from the first suit, and selects at least one picture card from the second suit, col. 5, lines 56-58. The player then must explain to the opposing players how the pictorial elements 16 on the selected picture card 14 relate to the words that make up the sentences 12 on the selected sentence card 10. A time keeper 26 is used to place a time restriction on the player's explanation. Then the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,033

**Art Unit: 3712** 

other players judge the player's explanation by giving a score from zero points to a selected number of points, col. 7, lines 26-39, see Fig. 7. Play continues with another player until all cards have been selected.

The patent to Bouchal 799' teaches that it is known in card games for players to observe the legends and pictures on displayed cards, and use the legends and pictures to tell a story. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious modify Calhoun's rules, wherein instead of providing an explanation of how a picture card relates to a sentence cards, the player of have told a story based on the pictures on the picture card and the sentences on the sentence card. This modification would have helped players in their storytelling skills.

In regard to claims 13, 17-20, the Applicant is referred to the *Ex parte Breslow* and *Gulack* decisions above.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Fields and Salinger disclose fortune telling cards games having playing cards with legends and pictures. The patents to Loayza, Bouchal 135' and Taylor disclose story telling games.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/II-II CC J.

Benjamin H. Layne Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bhl